### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 3

**DOCKET NO.:** 2007-1976-AGR-E **TCEQ ID:** RN102334836 **CASE NO.:** 35068

RESPONDENT NAME: Postmus Dairy, L.L.C. dba Postmus Dairy

ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	_EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
TYPE OF OPERATION: Dairy  SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Ther facility location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other that COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Region CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement D Respondent: Mr. Henk Klaas Postr 310, Dublin, Texas 76446	e are no complaints. There is no record of additional on the ED and the Respondent has expressed an intersister comment period expired on June 9, 2008. No car: None  Ms. Cheryl Thompson, Enforcement Division, Enforcement	rest in this matter.  comments were received.  Forcement Team 3, MC R-04, (817) 588-5886;

#### VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: энгт янаяо **VIOLATION INFORMATION** PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 11.00 The discount of the confidence of the TAKEN/REQUIREDA 9001 X Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$7,200 **Corrective Actions Taken:** Complaint X Routine Total Deferred: \$1,440 The Executive Director recognizes that the Enforcement Follow-up Respondent has implemented the X Expedited Settlement Records Review following corrective measures at the Financial Inability to Pay Facility: Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 a) On September 12, 2007, a contracted rendering service removed the dead Date of Investigation Relating to this animals from the Facility: and Total Paid to General Revenue: \$5,760 Case: September 12, 2007 Site Compliance History Classification b) On November 1, 2007, the Respondent Date of NOE Relating to this Case: submitted documentation of the dead High X Average Poor November 9, 2007 (NOE) animal management practices implemented at the Facility and submitted and a Person Compliance History Classification Background Facts: This was a routine documentation demonstrating that the High X Average Poor investigation. weekly inspections of the RCS are being conducted. Major Source: \_\_\_ Yes \_X\_ No WATER Ordering Provisions: Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 1) Failure to properly dispose of dead animals. Specifically, decaying animal The Order will require the Respondent to: carcasses were observed stockpiled [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 321.36(1)]. a) Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order: 2) Failure to ensure that the control facility is designed, constructed, operated and maintained to contain all manure, litter and i. Repair the irrigation equipment at the process water including runoff. LMU to ensure the integrity of the buffer Specifically, retention control structures zones and submit documentation ("RCS") No. 1 is designed to collect the demonstrating the remediation of the barn water via pipe, at the time of the contaminated areas; and investigation, the barn water pipe was not connected and RCS No. 1 was receiving ii. Repair and reconnect the barn pipe to wastewater from approximately half the RCS No. 1. production area [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.36(c) and TPDES General Permit No. TXG920299 Part V, Standard Permit b) Within 45 days after the effective date Condition D]. of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed 3) Failure to contain a discharge from a supporting documentation including Land Management Unit ("LMU"). photographs, receipts, and/or other records Specifically, wastewater was observed to demonstrate compliance with Ordering discharging from LMU No. 5 at the heifer Provision a. production site and into a freshwater pond south of RCS No. 3 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.40(d) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)]

# **RESPONDENT NAME:** Postmus Dairy, L.L.C. dba Postmus Dairy **DOCKET NO.:** 2007-1976-AGR-E

4) Failure to conduct weekly inspections and document/record the pond levels at RCS No. 1 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.36(h)(2)].	

Additional ID No(s).: TXG920299

.

Policy Revision 2 (Sep		alty (	Calculatio	on Work	sheet (P	•	on November 6, 2007
TCEQ DATES Assigned PCW		creening	27-Nov-2007	EPA Due	9		
RESPONDENT/FACILITY Respondent Reg. Ent. Ref. No. Facility/Site Region	Postmus Dairy, L.l RN102334836		Postmus Dairy	Major	/Minor Source	Minor	
CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. Docket No. Media Program(s) Multi-Media Admin. Penalty \$ I	2007-1976-AGR-E Water Quality	\$0	Maximum				3
TOTAL BASE PENAL	_TY (Sum of vic	Cape Control March Control	ilty Calcul base penalti		ction	Subtotal 1	\$4,000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)		.1	enalty (Subtotal 1) I			otals 2, 3, & 7	\$600
Notes	The Respondent r and		five previous No for same or si				
Culpability  Notes	No The Resp	ondent o	0% loes not meet ti	Æ Enhancement he culpability of		Subtotal 4	\$0
Good Faith Effor  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes	Before NOV NO	ark with x)	0% RP/Settlement Offe	T	oriteria.	Subtotal 5	\$0
Approx.	Total EB Amounts Cost of Compliance	\$2,919 \$4,300		6 Enhancement*		Subtotal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	S 1-7			gg-sec ( ) of a self-ggg grown age.		Final Subtotal	\$4,600
OTHER FACTORS A	and the second of the second o	Silva seria mis		57%	6	Adjustment	\$2,600
Notes	Recommended u		djustment in the ated with violati		o avoided cost		
STATUTORY LIMIT A	AD IJISTMENT					nalty Amount	\$7,200 \$7,200
DEFERRAL Reduces the Final Assessed Per	4 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1	entage. <i>(E</i>	nter number only; e	<b>20%</b> e.g. 20 for 20% re	Reduction	Adjustment	-\$1,440
Notes		Def	erral is recomm	ended.			
PAYABLE PENALTY							\$5,760

Screening Date 27-Nov-2007 Docket No. 2007-1976-AGR-E

Respondent Postmus Dairy, L.L.C. dba Postmus Dairy

Case ID No. 35068

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision November 6, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102334836

		Compliance History Worksheet		
		Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NC	)Vs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
		Other written NOVs	5	10%
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Ord	lers	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%
	ments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%
	onsent rees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%
21.50.201122222	ctions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts).	0	0%
Emis	sions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Au	dits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		0%
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	, 0	0%
		Plea	se Enter Yes or No	
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Ot	her	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	. 0%
in a similaria		Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
		Adjustment P	Percentage (Su	ıbtotal 2)
epeat Viola	tor (Su	btotal 3)		The carriers
	No	Tidjudinon:	Percentage (Su	ıbtotal 3)
ompliance	History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Ave	rage P	erformer Adjustment P	Percentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 7)
mpliance	History	Summary		Re.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 15%

Screening Date	27-Nov-2007 <b>Docket No.</b> 2007-1976-AGR-E	PCW
		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision November 6, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
Media [Statute]		no entre de la constanta de la
Enf. Coordinator		Start and American
Violation Number	1	<b></b>
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 321.36(I)	
Violation Description	Failed to properly dispose of dead animals. Specifically, decaying animal carcas were observed stockpiled.	sses
	Base Pe	enalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	900
OR Release Actual Potential		
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
	th or the environment will or could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutants vould not exceed levels that are protective of human health or the environment.	which
	Adjustment \$	9,000
	·	<u> </u>
TRANSPORTER TO THE TRANSPORTER T		\$1,000
Violation Events		
Number of Vio	plation Events 1 Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly Violation Base Personnel Single event	enalty \$1,000
	One quarterly event is recommened.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation Statutory Limit Test	
	d EB Amount \$0 Violation Final Penalty	Total \$1,800
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for I	limits) \$1,800
	This violation Fillal Assessed Felially (adjusted for t	ω/  ψ1,000

	L	conomic	Benefit W	orks	heet		
		L.L.C. dba Postm	us Dairy	hwe manifest the changes over \$10.	county and a second and a second and a second as the secon	The Mark Control of Control of the Control of Control o	
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102334836				A STATE OF THE STA	Section Section 1999	
	Water Quality	•					Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
			F. 15			1	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	CPA teacher					
A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	A COMMISSION AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	nur latery					
Delayed Costs		Aug. Aug. State of the second				1747	
Equipment	December of the second		ere a market	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	77.4 C			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	212.32		<ul> <li>It is properly to the country</li> </ul>	0,0	\$0	\$0 : 126355	46A14 \$0
Engineering/construction	in the state of th			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	the distrin/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0,0	\$0	i i n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	TO SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	2007 Control (1975)			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	12-Sep-2007	12-Sep-2007	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
	XXXXX CONTRACTOR			41:::.		19.1116000 1.1	Party Species
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co	st to remove dead		quired is als were		te. Final date is the	date the dead
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs		i i	anima	als were	moved.		
		i i	anima	ntering	moved. Item (except for \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel		i i	anima	ntering 0,0	moved. item (except for \$0 \$0	one-time avoided c	osts) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		i i	anima	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	moved, item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		i i	anima	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	moved, item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	(osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		i i	anima	ntering 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	moved	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	moved,	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		UALIZE [1] avoid	anime	ntering 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	moved	one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		UALIZE [1] avoid	anime	ntering 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	moved,	one-time avoided.c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)		UALIZE [1] avoid	anime	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	moved,  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided.c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		UALIZE [1] avoid	anime	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	moved,  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided.c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)		UALIZE [1] avoid	anime	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	moved,  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided.c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)		UALIZE [1] avoid	anime	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	moved,  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided.c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)		UALIZE [1] avoid	anime	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	moved,  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided.c \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date	27-Nov-2007	<b>Docket No.</b> 2007-1976-A	GR-E PCW
		C. dba Postmus Dairy	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision November 6, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102334836	,	
Media [Statute]			
Enf. Coordinator	Cheryl Thompson		
Violation Number	2		
Rule Cite(s)	TPDES General	Permit No. TXG920299 Part V, Standard Permit C Tex. Admin. Code § 321.36(c)	Condition D and 30
Violation Description	maintained to con retention control s at the time of the	ure that the control facility is designed, constructed that all manure, litter and process water including tructures ("RCS") No. 1 is designed to collect the investigation the barn water pipe was not connecting wastewater from approximately half the producing	runoff. Specifically, barn water via pipe, ted and RCS No. 1
			Base Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	nd Human Hea	lth Matrix	
		larm	
Release		derate Minor	
OR Actual Potential		x Percent	10%
Potential		x Percent	10%
>>Programmatic Matrix	10.5.66		
Falsification	Major Mo	derate Minor	
		Percent	0%
			-
		ent will or could be exposed to significant amounts els that are protective of human health or the envi	The state of the s
		Adjustment	\$9,000
•			\$1,000
Violation Events			
Number of Vic	lation Events	1 50 Number of vi	iolation days
			,-
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	Viol	lation Base Penalty \$1,000
One quarter		ended from the period of September 12, 2007 (da November 27, 2007 (screening date).	ite of inspection) to
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation	Statutory	Limit Test
Estimated	EB Amount	\$44 Violation	Final Penalty Total \$1,800
			-
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (	(adjusted for limits) \$1,800

	35068	, L.L.C. dba Postmu	s Daily				
Reg. Ent. Reference No	a Water Quality						Years of
Violation No						Percent Interest	Depreciation
	NAME OF THE		60-0000	2 5 62	REAL PROPERTY.	5.0	1:
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
" Item Descriptio	n No commas or \$						
Delayed Cost					ima ni i		
Equipment		1 1	**************************************	0,0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	X-4-4			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	irin/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	NE SHAN/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	# wh/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	and the second	i la constitución de la constitu	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$1,000	12-Sep-2007	31-Jul-2008	0.9	\$44	∃ in/a	\$44
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated	cost to operate and				nspection date. Fina	I date is the
Avoided Cost Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling		ratavia Cit	projected	ntering  0.0 0.0 0.0	ompliance.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	so \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost: Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		ratavia Cit	projected	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	ompliance.  Item (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Cost: Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		ratavia Cit	projected	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	ompliance.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	sosts)
Avoided Cost: Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]		ratavia Cit	projected	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	50 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts)
Avoided Cost: Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]		ratavia Cit	projected	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	ompliance.  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	so \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	sosts)

00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Scre	ening Date	27-Nov-2007		The second of th	Oocket No	. 2007-1976-AGR-E		PCW
		Respondent		y, L.L.C. dba F	Postmus Dairy	<i>'</i>		Policy R	evision 2 (September 2002)
		Case ID No.						PCW I	Revision November 6, 2007
Reg.		ference No.							
		ia [Statute]							
		coordinator		son					
	Viol	ation Number	3						
		Rule Cite(s)	30	Tex. Admin. C	ode § 321.40	(d) and Tex.	Water Code § 26.121(a)		
	Violatio	n Description		as observed o		om LMU No.	ment Unit ("LMU"). Specific 5 at the heifer production s RCS No. 3.		
							Base	Penalty	\$10,000
>> Enviro	onmental	, Property a	nd Human	Health Matr	ix	48			
4.44				Harm					
OR		Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	İ			
UN		Actual Potential			X		Percent 10%		
		rotential					1076		
>>Progra	ımmatic l	Matrix							
		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor				
				L			Percent 0%		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Matrix Notes	Human healt	h or the enviro	nment has be	en exposed to of this violat		t amounts of pollutants as	a result	
	4 L/	2	5 A S S 35	4 <b>%</b> 4 £		42.47	Adjustment	\$9,000	
	:								
									\$1,000
Violation	Events			4555	881	18 18 1			
			F		F		7	The second secon	
		Number of Vio	lation Events[			50	Number of violation days	i	
		ı	daily						
			monthly						\ \
		mark only one	quarterly	X			Violation Base	Penalty	\$1,000
		with an x	semiannual						
			annual single event						
		1	angle event						
		One quarter	ly event is rec		om the period er 27, 2007 (so		r 12, 2007 (date of inspect s).	ion) to	According to the second
Economi	c Benefit	t (EB) for thi	s violation				Statutory Limit Test		1000 High Hard 1000 H
		Estimated	EB Amount		\$8		Violation Final Penal	tv Total	\$1,800
		Latinated	Amount		ΨΟ	I	VIOLUUII I II IAI FEIIAI	y i Utal	
				Ţ	his violation	Final Asses	sed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits)	\$1,800
			7 6	M. W. W. L.	7.0				100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100

	<i>"</i>	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet	(12)	
Respondent		L.L.C. dba Postmu	** . \$4.2 ** FX***************				
Case ID No.			· · · ·				
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
	Water Quality					War and the second	Years of
Violation No.						Percent Interest	Depreciation
• Totation (40)		Electric Control				5.0	
NY COLUMN				100		5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						-0.000
Delayed Costs					THE SALE	Ed-	M
Equipment		and Control of State	11691	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings		Marking St.	re sinterior de la companya de la co	0.0	\$0 .	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	79 (39.)		ca Abaqidi	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		S	and the second s	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	uder in/a d' n.∀	\$0
Record Keeping System	- Marine Control Control			0,0	\$0	n/a 📉 📑	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		Harris Inc.		0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 #0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$200	12-Sep-2007	30-Jun-2008	0.0	\$0 \$8	in/a	\$0 \$8
	Estimated cost	to rappir the irrigat	ion oquinment and	Longuro	propor operation	to provent discharge	e from the LMU
Notes for DELAYED costs	D	ate required is the	inspection date. 1	he final	date is the project	to prevent discharge led date of complian	ce.
Avoided Costs	D	ate required is the	inspection date. 1	he final	date is the project		ce.
Avoided Costs Disposal	D	ate required is the	inspection date. 1	he final	date is the project  Item (except for	one-time avoided o	ce. osts) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	D	ate required is the	inspection date. T	ntering 0.0 0.0	date is the project item (except for \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	ce. :osts) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	D	ate required is the	inspection date. 1	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	ce. :osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment	D	ate required is the	inspection date. T	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	date is the project  Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ce. (osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	D	ate required is the	nspection date. T	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	date is the project  Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ce.  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	D	ate required is the	inspection date. T	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	date is the project  Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	so s
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	D	ate required is the	nspection date. T	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	date is the project  Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ce,  (sosts)  (\$0  (\$0  (\$0  (\$0  (\$0  (\$0  (\$0  (\$
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	D	ate required is the	nspection date. T	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	date is the project  Item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	so s

Screening Date		PCW
- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Postmus Dairy, L.L.C. dba Postmus Dairy	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision November 6, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
Media [Statute]		W
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)		
raio ens(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 321.36(h)(2)	
Violation Description	Failed to conduct weekly inspections and document/record the pond lev RCS No. 1.	rels at
		se Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	Ind Human Health Matrix  Harm	Section 1
OR Release Actual Potential	Major Moderate Minor	
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	· ·
	Percent 0%	<u>.</u>
	th or the environment will or could be exposed to significant amounts of pollutould not exceed levels that are protective of human health or the environment.	
	Adjustment	\$9,000
		\$1,000
Violation Events		2 (2 d 2 d 2 d 2 d 2 d 2 d 2 d 2 d 2 d 2
Number of Vio	olation Events 1 50 Number of violation d	ays
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly x Violation Basemiannual single event	ase Penalty \$1,000
	One quarterly event is recommended.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation Statutory Limit T	est
Estimate	d EB Amount \$2,867 Violation Final Pe	enalty Total \$1,800
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted	
	The state of the s	10000

Violation No.	Water Quality 4				#15 j	Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	444					5.0	15
**************************************	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$					The Control of the Co	3.7
Delayed Costs				Park State		i i	Fototský <b>Vlaži</b> ta
Equipment			interiorización a	l 0.0 l	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings		2.1		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	L. 11 25 15 16 14			0.0	\$0	网络欧洲 n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	Y-Vit/n/a1.	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		en e		0,0	\$0	th k lan/a	\$0
Permit Costs	the species of the second		A	0,0	\$0	' n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	articolar de la companya de la comp	Processor of the second		0.0	\$0	M/A n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e	1 (2)   1 (2)   1 (2)   2 (2)   2 (2)	\$0 \$VI 1Q1513 V 1Q1513		\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment  Financial Assurance [2]	\$2,600	UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before c	ntering i	\$0 tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

#### **Compliance History**

CN602542193 Postmus Dairy, LLC Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 2.80 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: RN102334836 POSTMUS DAIRY FARM Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 2.80 Regulated Entity: ID Number(s): WASTEWATER AGRICULTURE TXG015383 REGISTRATION WASTEWATER AGRICULTURE PERMIT TXG920299 Rating Date: 9/1/2007 Repeat Violator: NO 2855 COUNTY ROAD 310, ERATH COUNTY Location: **REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX** TCEQ Region: December 04, 2007 Date Compliance History Prepared: Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement December 04, 2002 to December 04, 2007 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Cheryl Thompson (817)588-5886 Name: **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? No 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. C Chronic excessive emissions events. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D 1 06/18/2003 (60324) (253952) 2 11/05/2003 3 03/31/2004 4 10/25/2004 (336975) 5 07/29/2005 (402224) 6 11/04/2005 (432868) 7 01/05/2006 (436624) 8 03/29/2006 (460006) 9 01/03/2007 (519658)10 11/16/2007 (593918)Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) Date: 05/30/2003 (60324) Classification: Self Report? Minor 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.42(j) Citation: Failure to submit soil samples within the required time frame. Description: Self Report? Classification: Minor 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(f)(28)(C) Citation: Description: Failure to take soil samples from each land management unit shown in the permit and pollution prevention plan. Date: 03/05/2004 (260803)Classification: Minor Self Report? Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(f)(23) Description: Failure to include all required information in the off-site manure and slurry records. Self Report? 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.41(b) Citation: Failure of the person named in the PPP as responsible for inspection and Description: maintenance to conduct and document those activities. Date 11/22/2004 (339424) Self Report? Classification Moderate 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(f)(19)(C) Citation: Failure to not apply wastewater when the ground was saturated

Classification

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(f)(19)(D)

Failure to manage irrigation practices to minimize ponding or puddling of wastewater

Moderate

Description:

Self Report?

Description:

Citation:

on the site

Self Report?

Classification

Classification

Classification

Classification

Classification

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(f)(18)

Description:

Failure to have structural damage to the pond liner evaluated by a NRCS engineer or

a licensed professional engineer within 3 days of the damage

Date 01/06/2006

(436624)

Citation: Rqmt Prov: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(g)(2)

Self Report?

PERMIT III.B.5

Description:

Failure to maintain crops during the normal growing season in areas where animals

are kept in the pastures.

Failure to maintain crops during the normal growing season in areas where animals

are kept in the pastures.

Date 03/13/2006

(460006)

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321,40(7)

Citation: Description:

FAILURE TO OBSERVE THE REQUIRED BUFFER DISTANCE BETWEEN

APPLICATION FIELDS AND WATERWAYS.

Date 11/29/2006

(519658)

Self Report? Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(g)(2)

Rqmt Prov:

PERMIT III.B.5

Description:

Failure to maintain crops during the normal growing season in areas where animals

are kept in the pastures. Failure to maintain crops during the normal growing season in areas where animals

are kept in the pastures.

Self Report?

Citation: Rqmt Prov: 30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.39(e)

PERMIT III.A.8(c)

Description:

Failure to provide containment structures for contaminated runoff from manure/litter

or sludge storage piles.

Self Report? NO

30 TAC Chapter 321, SubChapter B 321.38(g)(3)(E)

Ramt Prov:

Citation: PERMIT III.A.6(a)(2)

Description:

Fallure to maintain documentation capacity certifications were completed by a

licensed Texas professional for each RCS prior to use.

Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§ ·	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
POSTMUS DAIRY, L.L.C. DBA	§	
POSTMUS DAIRY	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIT
RN102334836		

#### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1976-AGR-E

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Postmus Dairy, L.L.C. dba Postmus Dairy ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a dairy at 2855 County Road 310 in Erath County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent has caused, suffered, allowed or permitted the discharge of any waste or the performance of any activity in violation of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 or any rule, permit, or order of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about November 14, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seven Thousand Two Hundred Dollars (\$7,200) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations").

.

The Respondent has paid Five Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$5,760) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Four Hundred Forty Dollars (\$1,440) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
  - a. On September 12, 2007, a contracted rendering service removed the dead animals from the Facility; and
  - b. On November 1, 2007, the Respondent submitted documentation of the dead animal management practices implemented at the Facility and submitted documentation demonstrating that the weekly inspections of the retention control structures ("RCS") are being conducted.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to properly dispose of dead animals, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.36(l), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 12, 2007. Specifically, decaying animal carcasses were observed stockpiled.
- 2. Failed to ensure that the control facility is designed, constructed, operated and maintained to contain all manure, litter and process water including runoff, in violation of Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination Systems ("TPDES") General Permit No. TXG920299 Part V, Standard

Permit Condition D and 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.36(c), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 12, 2007. Specifically, RCS No. 1 is designed to collect the barn water via pipe, at the time of the investigation the barn water pipe was not connected and RCS No. 1 was receiving wastewater from approximately half the production area.

- 3. Failed to contain a discharge from a Land Management Unit ("LMU"), in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 321.40(d) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 12, 2007. Specifically, wastewater was observed discharging from LMU No. 5 at the heifer production site and into a freshwater pond south of the RCS No. 3.
- 4. Failed to conduct weekly inspections and document/record the pond levels at RCS No. 1, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 321.36(h)(2), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 12, 2007.

#### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Postmus Dairy, L.L.C. dba Postmus Dairy, Docket No. 2007-1976-AGR-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Repair the irrigation equipment at the LMU to ensure the integrity of the buffer zones and submit documentation demonstrating the remediation of the contaminated areas; and
    - ii. Repair and reconnect the barn pipe to RCS No. 1.
  - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including

photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2. a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section, Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this

en de la companya de la co

Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.



#### SIGNATURE PAGE

#### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Gold Gracin	6/2/2008
Hor he Executive Director	Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEO seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature 3/28/07
Date

Date

Date

Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Postmus Dairy, L.L.C. dba Postmus Dairy Title

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.